

Dec. 3, 2009 (#464)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Dec. 3, 2009:

CO2 -- On the Trail of the New Holy Grail:

"World Business Summit Met Back in the Summer
To Turn Profits from Crisis, said 'Warming's no Bummer,
We'll Rake in Trillions from this Mother of Scams,'
Politicos saw Billions from Tax-Paying Hams,
Academia, Grant-Bloated, Drooling, Greenback Flavour,
Became Priesthood of Omens, Unopposed New Saviour,
Alice in Wonderland takes Backseat in World Surrealistic,
As Scientists became Famous with each Fudged Statistic,
Some Predicted it would be Invasion from Venus or Mars,
Turns Out t'was a Demon who Dreamt up CO2 Farce"

© Alan Watt Dec. 3, 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Dec. 3, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on December 3rd
2009. For all the newcomers out there, I advise you look into
cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site and scroll down and you'll see all the other
sites I have up there. Bookmark them for future use because when the big sites go

down you can always pull up the latest shows from one of them. These are the only sites I have up. Anything outside of these are not mine. [Sites listed above.]

Always, I tell the people that you bring me to you, the listeners. No one supports me here. I'm not backed by any particular political organization or foundation. I'm not selling big products for any particular reason. The only things I have for sale are on the books I've written and the CDs and DVDs I've made. So it's up to you to purchase them or keep me going by DONATING to me, very important. I have to keep reminding people, because it's always the same people who donate over and over again and some of them drop off too because they come on hard times like so many people are going through hard times right now losing jobs across the US and Canada and elsewhere. It's up to the newcomers to do their bit as well. Believe you me, nothing really is free. Anything that's really free has an alternate agenda. It's like television; all the ads on TV are the main reason that the shows are on that you're enjoying. It's meant to sell stuff, sell products. The ads on this show go directly from the advertisers TO RBN station to broadcast this talk. It pays for their staff, their equipment, the air time and their engineers and so on. That's how things work, but it also means that you have to support me as well and keep me going. You can do so by buying that which I have for sale or donating. [Options listed above.] For those who get the disks burned and passed to them and lots of people do at meetings. They don't use computers; they play them on their CD players. You can get in touch with me at [address above].

Now that that's out of the way, the only complaint I have as always is with XplorNet. For those who are thinking of getting high-speed and fall for the advertising, believe you me, once you've signed up and you're locked in with them, they start cutting back your speed like crazy. They'll never admit to it and they'll have you getting your satellite stuff inspected at big bucks over and over before they'll admit that you're on a 'lock'. I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. I wonder how many people have noticed that with all the hype about global warming and all the supposed solutions that are being bombarded on the people - of course the people will always pay - *that the whole flu thing has died down.*

We're supposed to all be getting cordoned off for quarantine and pandemics breaking out all over the place and people dying and mass burials. It's amazing that after all the deals were struck with governments across the world, at the behest of the World Health Organization at the United Nations, once all the deals were signed and 5 and 6-year orders came in from the big pharma companies - and they were signed and sealed and delivered basically - it's all kind of faded away. Isn't that amazing? Isn't that absolutely amazing?

Yet the same technique is being used by the special interest groups to do with global warming. Hype. Hype. Terrify the public. It's a matter of life and death... or complete extinction of the species if we don't sign this treaty, or that's it; it's all over if we don't. I can remember about 6 months ago Prince Charles came out and said if we don't do something within 3 months it's all over. Then someone followed it up a few months back, same sort of stuff, and we're getting the same hype now. IT'S ALL THESE GROUPS THAT HAVE WORKED FOR YEARS, AND GOT PAID TO WORK FOR YEARS, ON THE GLOBAL WARMING SCAM. We also have big business on board with it too, very big business. Apparently this trading

in carbon is going to bring in more on the stock market trading all this carbon nonsense, this abstract nothingness is going to be twice as much in fact as the oil industry. This is what they speculate, at least this is what the propaganda they're giving out right now.

Big business is on board with the greening agenda, all the greenies and the scientists who have been well paid to fudge all their statistics to CREATE the global warming graphs and so on. So it's pretty well going to be a done deal. Governments will not backtrack on it now. In fact, they're going to ignore the exposés of this scandal that's broken out and everyone else knows about because so much money, big business and a whole world agenda is riding on the outcome of this.

It's like World War I. In World War I, they found that the German Kaiser had ordered massive railway expansions for an upcoming war, should one happen. When the Archduke Ferdinand was killed by a terrorist, it was decided to go to war but it wasn't fully committed to it except for the fact that all the train schedules were already rescheduled to carry troops. So they couldn't go back on it. That's the excuse we're given in history. They couldn't go back and say 'no we won't have the war' because they had all this stuff ready to go to war and they couldn't go back since it was all ready to go.

It's the same with the global warming thing. For YEARS they've been planning this whole new economy, a planned society is to come out of it, a whole new way of living, not just stuck at the one place, THEY ALWAYS INCREMENTALLY CHANGE IT. They know exactly HOW to take you where they want you to go *by increments*. Once they get this deal signed, it will go all the way to rationing and then to, eventually, who can breed, who will not breed and then world service. You will be BORN TO SERVE THE WORLD STATE. That's no speculation because I've read the books by the big boys from over 100 years ago... some of them were talking about this very thing.

HG Wells wrote about the birth of the League of Nations with became the United Nations and he said 'at last, we've got what we wanted, now we can bypass governments and use bureaucracies OF governments to communicate with each other,' on the same agendas and the politicians wouldn't even be involved. That was about 1919-1920. They've always had it as their goal to bring in this utopia and Wells wrote the book **A Modern Utopia** on behalf of his mentors and his masters because he was a propagandist for the group that PLANNED, literally, the whole of the 20th century into the 21st century.

This group started off with bankers, WORLD lenders - not just bankers but world *lenders* - who also were taking over the resources of the planet with Cecil Rhodes and Lord Rothschild. Then they merged with Lord Milner who also was an international banker and all the top ones that came on board were international bankers and their sons. That became the Royal Institute of International Affairs which is a PRIVATE organization but again they were given a Royal charter by the Crown, this strange democratic crown idea that Britain has, and they formed the Council on Foreign Relations for America. Here they go. They've got almost what they want.

Under this new deal - and it is a new deal of a new way of living - with us of

course being the victims. We are also the cause apparently of all the pollution. Everyone they say is responsible for it. We're ALL in it together. YOU'RE all responsible for it. It's amazing these big corporations are going to profit to such a fantastic, unbelievable, never-dreamed-of-before extent, as they will, off this. Governments are throwing BILLIONS at them to start up all their different companies, their greening companies and the whole carbon trading business. They've already got the bank set that will deal with the transfer and holding of all these carbon credits. Of course that's a Rothschild bank in Switzerland, their private one. Nothing really changes. The plan goes on.

There are many different interest groups. Then there are all the fearful people at the bottom that go hysterical because they believe every panic that's given to them. They aid it on. They aid it on; they demand from government, they *scream* to government, help us, help us, save us. They become radical and dangerous as well. Of course, that's what they big boys want. That's what they want. They always depend on the fringe group that becomes petrified because the panic creation is working and then they start doing crazy things... which get in the newspaper. That also aids their plan as well.

Here's how it's presented in the Mail Online. It's quite interesting to do with Professor Jones who is only ONE of them at the peak of this. Remember, the whole global warming scam started off with one paper, ONE PAPER. Then eventually another one followed it; so TWO papers all together. Everything was built on these two papers, the WHOLE theory. It's like building a religion - which it is - on two papers that became like the laws of Moses for all the other scientists in the field and they all jumped on board when it became popular amongst governments. By the way, that was spread by Margaret Thatcher.

Margaret Thatcher was the first politician to USE... and they always get something to use. It's like a pop star, what's your gimmick? ...what's your shtick? ...as they say. They give you some sort of gimmick so they'll recognize it's always YOU. With politicians they do the same thing. Thatcher had nothing of note in her past when she came to power, or was put in. She had a Bachelor of Science in chemistry. She had a business related with chemistry. She came out with the first diet ice creams. What they did was they aerosolized the ice cream, they whipped it up and injected it with all these air bubbles. So for the same price, you got half as much ice cream but blown up to look the same size as the old stuff and it was full of air bubbles. She was given a great award for that by the business community, this idea. That's not bad eh? So you're getting twice the profit for basically half as much ice cream. But because of this scientific background she had in chemistry, they said, 'well let's find something to do with science.' In science, you can convince people of anything *by using science*. She was given the task. It was another Lord that came up with the idea, why not global warming? That was already dreamed up by the Club of Rome but she jumped on that and was the first one to push it. In every meeting she went to, she always brought that into it and got it made popular amongst politicians across the world. She was the first one to put funding into research to do with it.

The Mail Online.

Professor in climate change scandal helps police with enquiries while researchers call for him to be banned

The scientist at the heart of the climate change email scandal was today interviewed by police about the scandal.

Two plain clothes officers arrived in an unmarked car in the afternoon and took Professor Phil Jones to Norfolk Police's headquarters in nearby Wymondham to give a statement.

Sources said the interview concerned the theft of emails from the university and alleged death threats since the contents of the emails were released, adding he was being treated as a 'victim of crime' (*Alan: A VICTIM, this con artist is a victim of crime...*) rather than a suspect in any criminal investigation. (*A: This is the guy who took over £30.7 million in grants and wants to change the whole world so that scientists can rule it and we'll all obey. So he's a VICTIM, this con man. He and many more like him by the way. So there ya go. These guys are victims in crime. Quite amazing. Quite amazing.*)

There's another article from The Mail Online and it says...

Don't hold your breath expecting climate change data to be revealed

By Mike Thornhill / 2nd December 2009 / dailymail.co.uk

I've been following the 'hacked emails' story - the climate change 'storm' - since it first broke.

Those of us who follow websites like Climate Audit (*A: That's a good web site.*) and other science oriented sites were not surprised. People who do, although they had no proof until now, knew something of the sort had had to be going on.

They knew their freedom of information requests were being blocked at every turn. (*A: When you get that, you've got a mandate and you've got a con going on. Scientists are SUPPOSED to release their information so it can be verified by other scientists. Back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article from The Mail Online to do with the supposed upcoming presentation of the original data, supposedly, that the global warming stuff was based on. This journalist says don't hold your breath. He's talking about the other groups that tried to get this data before and were blocked, like Climate Audit and other science-orientated sites. He says...

Those of us who follow websites like Climate Audit and other science oriented sites were not surprised. People who do, although they had no proof until now, knew something of the sort had had to be going on. (*A: Meaning scamming.*)

They knew their freedom of information requests were being blocked at every turn. They knew they found it exceedingly difficult to get anything published

in academic journals. (A: *Amazing, amazing power came from on high in some position to tell academic journals, don't publish anything that's contrary to the warming agenda.*) And they had already proved over and over that there were errors with data selection and methods in publications by University of East Anglia (UEA) scientists and their colleagues. (A: *That's the main one that all the other scientists across the world were basing their data on, from them.*)

Steve McIntyre, who edits Climate Audit, together with his colleague Ross McKittrick, was responsible for almost single-handedly demolishing the 'science' behind the so called hockey stick temperature graph, which became such an icon for the global warming catastrophe movement. He has been requesting information from UEA for years without success. (A: *For years.*)

In what sounds like a turn for the good and seems to be a victory for increased transparency, the University has now agreed to publish its raw temperature data. (A: *They already said that they'd lost it or when they changed from some accommodations or something, they'd lost it all or wiped it out to get more computer space, ya-da, ya-da, ya. But now they say that they will present it so I guess they found it again.*)

This sounds great. But take notice of the qualification to this statement, namely that this will only be after they have received agreement from their associates in other countries who have provided data under restrictive agreements.

Unfortunately this has been the major argument used by the UEA to deny McIntyre and others freedom of information and other requests in the past. (A: *So they're using the same stunt again. It's the same stunt as they're saying 'we can't tell you the truth for reasons of national security' that the military does all the time and the politicians too.*)

(*So the guy says don't hold your breath waiting for the data to come forth.*) The university's Pro-Vice-Chancellor Professor Trevor Davies released a statement saying: 'Some countries may refuse permission due to the economic value of the data.' (A: *That's what they're getting told.*)

So, when the hubbub dies down, will they fall back on this excuse again? I don't think McIntyre will be holding his breath waiting for the data.

The mentality amongst these scientists which has led to this circling of the wagons is so self-defeating. They complain that all these information requests are very time-consuming and that sceptics just want to find fault with their work. If they published their data and methods in the first place, people would have no need to make these requests.

Sceptics do want to find fault, that much is true. But that is how science is supposed to work. Each new theory or development only stands up as long as it withstands rigorous testing. So, blocking access to data only achieves a slowing down of much-needed progress in climate science. (A: *And it goes on and on and on but yeah... don't hold your breath.*)

Don't hold your breath because there is too much at stake here. It's not just the scientists. They were obviously taking orders from someone in a higher authority. As I say too, you have all these multi-national, MASSIVE, big businesses all looking forward to all the - and they've already gotten lots of grants from governments already - but looking forward to the BILLIONS that will get doled out to them to go ahead and twaddle it down the sink basically as they *pretend* to look for alternatives to fossil fuel.

Another good article too is from Global Research.

Global Warming: "Fixing the Climate Data around the Policy"

by Michel Chossudovsky / Global Research, November 30, 2009

More than 15,000 people will be gathering in Copenhagen for COP 15: the 15th Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). *(A: I love all these abbreviations. The UNFCCC. This one article alone has a whole bunch of these alphabet-soup agencies but that's also to confuse the public and you give up generally halfway through something.)*

Official delegations from 192 nations will mingle with the representatives of **major multinational corporations**, including Royal Dutch Shell, British Petroleum, The representatives of environmental and civil society organizations will also be in attendance. *(A: There's links here too, even more on who's attending, all the big corporations.)* Parties & Observers

Heads of state and heads of government are slated to be in appearance in the later part of the Summit event. (See The essentials in Copenhagen - COP15 United Nations Climate Change Conference Copenhagen 2009)

It is worth noting that key decisions and orientations on COP15 **had already been wrapped up** at the World Business Summit on Climate Change (WBSCC) *(A: See, they're having world business summits, the big international boys.)* held in May in Copenhagen, six months ahead of COP15. *(A: Already done.)*

The WBSCC brought together some of the World's most prominent business executives and World leaders including Al Gore *(A: Who has already made a fortune off the carbon trading.)* and UN Secretary General Ban Ki Moon. (The World Business Summit on Climate Change, includes webcast) *(A: The link for that's on here too.)*

The results of these high level consultations were forwarded to the Danish government as well as to the governments of participating member states. A so-called summary report for policymakers was drafted by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, on behalf the corporate executives participating in the event. *(A: Back with more on the bigwigs who are making millions off something that's still to go through, after this break.)*

Hi folks. This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article to do with global warming called "Fixing the Climate Data Around the Policy". It's by Michael Chossudovsky. It's interesting to see the big power players that are attending this Copenhagen meeting - all the big business boys - and how they've had meetings before, world business summits on climate change and really, basically, come down to the brass knuckles as they say, as to who is going to divvy it up and what part of the money is going to get thrown at them by governments. Of course, I'm sure it's how much kickbacks they'll give to governments as well. That happens. That's the REAL world we live in. That's how it really works.

This report has very little to do with environmental protection. It largely consists in a profit driven agenda, which uses the global warming consensus as a justification. (For details see Climate Council: The World Business Summit on Climate Change)

"The underlying ambition of the Summit was to address the twin challenges of climate change and the economic crisis. Participants at the Summit considered how these risks can be turned into **opportunity** (A: Boy, they're all using that aren't they? They turn every crisis into opportunity.) **if business and governments work together**, (A: Isn't FASCISM wonderful?) and what policies, incentives, and investments will most effectively stimulate low-carbon growth." (Copenhagen Climate Council) (A: All the links and detail are on this particular site.)

The agenda of the Copenhagen Climate Summit (7-18 December 2009), is upheld both by the governments, the business executives and the **NGO community** (A: You know, all those Soviet boys that work for the foundations that are owned by the international bankers.) as "one of the most significant gatherings in history. It is being called the most complex and vital agreement the world has ever seen." (A: I'm not kidding, it's true.)

CO2 emissions are heralded as the single and most important threat to the future of humanity. (A: It's amazing, they came up with global warming, then they started to call it climate change and CO2.)

The focus of the Summit is on strictly environmental issues. No mention of the word "war" **--i.e. the US-NATO led war and its devastating environmental consequences.**

No mention of the pre-emptive use of nuclear weapons as an instrument of "peacemaking".

No mention, as part of an environmental debate, of the radioactive fallout resulting from the Pentagon's humanitarian nuclear bombs. Tactical nuclear weapons, according to scientific opinion commissioned by the Pentagon are "safe for the surrounding civilian population". (A: I guess that's why the think tank for NATO - that's in the archives section of my web site - when they came out with that 90-page report on the future. They said they would be using tactical, field nuclear weapons, neutron bombs, on big flash mobs in the upcoming riots and food riots they expect to happen from about 2010 onwards.)

No mention of "weather warfare" or "environmental modification techniques" (ENMOD) and climatic warfare.

No mention in the debate on climate change of the US Air Force 2025 project entitled **"Owning the Weather"** for military use. (See FAS, AF2025 v3c15-1 | Weather as a Force Multiplier: Owning... | (Ch 1) see also SPACE.com -- U.S. Military Wants to Own the Weather) (A: *It's got the links here from the Air Force. They're already doing it, have been for years. I've seen the spraying going on. This is ABOVE all the cons that these other scientist were doing at the bottom level convincing us of global warming. They've actually been altering the atmosphere for quite some time and altering the weather.*)

Despite a vast body of scientific knowledge, the issue of deliberate climatic manipulations for military use is no longer part of the UN agenda on climate change. (A: *They've suddenly forgot about that even though all the governments in, I think it was 1978, signed a treaty at the United Nations not to use weather warfare ON EACH OTHER. They admitted in it what they could to THEN. They could cause tidal waves and tsunamis; they could create earthquakes and tornadoes, hurricanes and floods or droughts. That was in 1978. YOU DON'T SIGN THESE TREATIES UNLESS YOU COULD ALREADY DO IT with the HAARP technology and the spraying in the skies. They had already tested it out.*) It was, however, part of the agenda of the 1992 Rio Earth Summit. (See Michel Chossudovsky, Environmental Warfare and Climate Change, Global Research, 27 November 2005, See also Michel Chossudovsky, Weather Warfare: Beware the US military's experiments with climatic warfare, The Ecologist, December 2007)

CO2 is the logo, which describes the Worldwide crisis. No other variable is contemplated.

Moreover, no meaningful anti-pollution clean air policy directed against CO2 emissions can be formulated as an objective in its own right, because the reduction of CO2 emissions is subordinate to the Global Warming consensus.

The words "poverty", "unemployment" and "disease" resulting from a global economic depression are not a matter of emphasis because authoritative financial sources state unequivocally: "the economic recession is over". (A: *That's what they're telling us... as folk are going under and still losing their homes. They're telling us the economic recession is over.*)

And the war in the Middle East and Central Asia is not a war but "a humanitarian operation directed against terrorists and rogue states."

The Real Crisis

The Copenhagen Summit not only serves powerful corporate interests, which have a stake in the global multibillion dollar carbon trading scheme, it also serves to divert public attention from the devastation resulting from the "real crisis" underlying the process of economic globalization and a profit driven war without borders, which the Pentagon calls "the long war". (A: *Remember, I read the article FROM the military, from their own magazine;*

it's called 'perpetual war.')

We are at the crossroads of the most serious crisis in modern history. War and economic depression constitute the real crisis, yet both the governments and the media have focused their attention on the environmental devastation resulting from CO2 emissions, which is upheld as the greatest threat to humanity.

The Multibillion Dollar Carbon Trading System

The carbon trading system is a multibillion money-making bonanza for the financial establishment. The stakes are extremely high and the various lobby groups on behalf of Wall Street have already positioned themselves.

According to a recent report, "the carbon market could become **double the size of the vast oil market**, (A: *At least in the oil market they had real oil that was getting traded. This is just nothing, nothing.*) according to the new breed of City players who trade greenhouse gas emissions through the EU's emissions trading scheme... (A: *What a con, eh? It's like saying how do you make a fishing net? Well, you pick up holes and you tie them together. That is as crazy as this.*) The speed of that growth will depend on whether the Copenhagen summit gives a go-ahead for a low-carbon economy, but Ager says whatever happens schemes such as the ETS will expand around the globe." (Terry Macalister, Carbon trading could be worth twice that of oil in next decade, The Guardian, 28 November 2009)

I've got Terry from Calgary hanging on the phone so I'll take Terry. Are you there Terry?

Terry: It's nice to talk to you again. Alan, I don't like to call too much because I like to try to think for myself to come up with the answer but I'm a little stymied on this one. I was listening to Lord Monckton. He reminded me of Sir James Goldsmith. He came out and spoke against the GATT Treaty in '94, I think it was. The question that keeps going through my mind is this. You've got SIR James Goldsmith and LORD Monckton. Sir James Goldsmith was a billionaire and Lord Monckton I'm sure is very wealthy as well. They are both part of the elite. Why have they turned a leaf, in your opinion, and decided against their conscience and decided that they should come out and say something?

Alan: We'll never understand the level of machinations that these guys live in. It's way above us, way above us. These guys were brought up not just with silver spoons but with gold spoons in their mouths. They all attended the best boarding schools that the English upper class could offer. They were all guaranteed positions. They went through the best universities too, but guaranteed positions in business. Sir James Goldsmith was known as a corporate raider. He was one of the corporate raiders who literally were going in during the Junk Bond scandals and they were buying companies up, buying the shares of a company, firing the previous owner who had less shares and so on, taking them over, stripping them to the bone and then selling them off again and saying they were efficient. Of course they all collapsed like a deck of cards behind them. But this went on and Sir James Goldsmith was one of them. He also was one of the guys who were highly competitive on behalf of Britain and his friends in the oil industry. These guys, as

we know, were involved with the setting up of wars and all the rest of it, for oil. So we'll never know really why Goldsmith came out. However, they have got great oratory skills; they're given this at the schools they attend. They're given a big vocabulary which the ordinary people never even use; never even get *a chance* to use, never mind learn. They're also taught debating and the art of speaking, so they can present their facts very, very well. Monckton as well, with Thatcher, as I mentioned before, Thatcher was given her shtick. They thought, well she's got sort of a degree in science, let's use that because another Lord says well... other politicians, if they have no understanding of science, you can confuse them with any issue by using scientific terminology, they just go along with you and accept what you're saying. So she became the champion at that time for global warming which had not even been heard of by other people. In every meeting she went to for the EU and the UN or whatever, she'd always bring up that topic even though it wasn't the topic under discussion. So it's odd that Monckton, who again, was a science advisor during Thatcher's era, seemed to have gone along with it then but now he's come out as the opposition. We'll never understand really, at that level, what goes on. It's out of our league, you understand.

Terry: My thoughts are, I think that Carroll Quigley said, we will always provide you with the opposition.

Alan: They do. They always do. It's some odd legality. It's like the presentation of a Perry Mason show. The accused and the defendant and the prosecutor, it's a game in law. Law is a game the same way. Things are presented TO the public in a legalistic fashion and future historians can then say well, the public were told about this but they went along with it so they must have accepted it and really wanted it. So it's a benefit for historians and children of the future. Children can look back and say well, I guess it was a good thing because everybody went along, nobody complained.

Terry: Exactly. Well Alan, I'm a little late on my donation. I like to give you a monthly donation. It will be coming in the mail. I'll let you continue on.

Alan: Well thanks very much. I appreciate that very, very much. Thank you.

We live at a lower level of reality. We never know what's going on. We're kept in naïveté really, at the bottom level. That's the media's job too, is to keep us down and dumb or else to motivate us to go along with some crisis and get shots for inoculations or whatever. That's what the media is for. The media is the MIDDLE man. They peddle the agenda to the public at the bottom. We'll never know how it goes on at the top. People who really think that governments are there to SERVE them are the most naïve of all peoples.

Governments are full of businessmen and psychopaths who get attracted into the big honey pot. All big projects today require grants from governments to get on the go, whether it's the creation of roads or whatever; it's all government money. Therefore, these guys always get kickbacks for every grant they that hand out. *That's standard procedure.* They have shares in all the companies that get the contracts as well. They say 'we're not involved when we're in politics with it, with our shares; we don't know what's happening with them; it's in the hands of our lawyers.' That's what they generally say. They know darn well what's going on. It's a corrupt as can be, but it's always been that way, always. I can't see why it

would change.

Another article I was reading today was to do with the US contractors. There are actually two contractors working in Afghanistan and Iraq – two of employees of contractors – for every soldier that's there. EVERYTHING TO DO WITH RUNNING THE US MILITARY IS CONTRACTED OUT. It's a money pit where no one is taking account of the billions that are getting pumped into it. No one is keeping the books. They actually said they lost 20-odd billion bucks or something and the Pentagon refuses to even have an inquiry into where it went. The scandals are horrific, HORRIFIC. As long as people are profiting at THIS kind of level, with billions of dollars of it, they will keep wars going as long as they can. It's quite simple, quite simple.

Here's another aspect of it too and it falls right in line with Sir Crispin Tickell, a guy who's not very funny at all. He's one of the heads of The Optimum Population Trust, again another upper class guy who admits that they're all upper class whites, he said, in his group. They're eugenicists. They believe the right of the fittest to survive and of course they think THEY are because they have the most of the goodies of the shares of the planet. Here's an article to do with how eugenics ties in with global warming.

Fund family planning 'to cut CO2'

(news.bbc.co.uk) - Dec. 3, 2009

Meeting the demand for family planning in poor nations (*A: Now, when they say family planning they also mean abortions and sterilizations. That's really generally what they mean.*) is a cheap and effective way to cut CO2 emissions, a new website initiative claims.

The UK-based Optimum Population Trust (*A: That's another Sir who will shortly be made a Lord and he's an advisor on the board of the British government.*) says fast-rising population levels lead to growing emissions. (*A: See, so we all are, you know, taking away their air, you see, for the wealthy. All us 'useless eaters' are just swallowing up their air... that they should be using.*)

(*A: Listen to this one.*) The website is urging wealthy people to offset their own CO2 emissions by **funding contraception programmes.**

It says taking such action is better value than spending money on wind turbines, solar power or hybrid cars.

Critics would argue the analysis is too simplistic, a BBC correspondent says.

The BBC's environment analyst Roger Harrabin says they could contend that **reducing the number of people born** in the US would make a big difference in achieving reductions in CO2 levels.

Our correspondent added that carbon emissions from people in much of sub-Saharan Africa are so low that they can barely be counted. (*A: You can't count the emissions, even that is misleading. What they're counting is ENERGY USAGE and then they convert it supposedly into emissions. Even*

that's a con.)

According to the OPT, every £4 (A: *About \$8.*) spent on family planning saves one tonne of CO₂. (A: *HOW could they... oh, the conology is at work eh, CONOLOGY to the maximum for an agenda. Back with more after this break.*)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. This other article is called...

Climategate: it's all unravelling now

By James Delingpole December 2nd, 2009 / telegraph.co.uk

1. Australia's Senate rejects Emissions Trading Scheme for a second time. Or: so turkeys don't vote Christmas. Expect to see a lot more of this: politicians starting to become aware their party's position on AGW (A: *Anthropomorphic - man-made - Global Warming.*) is completely out of kilter with the public mood and economic reality. Kevin Rudd's Emissions Trading Scheme (A: *For Australia.*) - what Andrew Bolt calls "a \$114 billion green tax (A: *That's what it costs Australia if they'd gone through with it.*) on everything" - would have wreaked havoc on the coal-dependent Australian economy. That's why several opposition Liberal frontbenchers resigned rather than vote with the Government on ETS; why Liberal leader Malcolm Turnbull lost his job; and why the Senate voted down the ETS.

2. Danes (A: *Denmark.*) caught fiddling their carbon credits. (Hat tip: Philip Stott) Carbon trading is the Emperor's New Clothes of international finance. (A: *Apparently they were caught at it.*) It was invented by none other than Ken Lay, whose Enron (A: *This is the guy who came up with the idea for the carbon credits. We know what honest guys those guys were, right.*) would currently be one of the prime beneficiaries in the global alternative energy market, if it hadn't been shown to be (nearly) as fraudulent as the current AGW scam. It is a licence to fleece, cheat and rob. Still, jolly embarrassing for the Danes to get caught red handed, what with their hosting a conference shortly in which the world's leaders will try, straight-faced, to persuade us that carbon emissions trading is the only viable way of defeating ManBearPig.

3. Hats off to The Daily Express - the first British newspaper to make the AGW scam its front page story. (A: *Yep, and it's all quite true.*)

You know, what will all come out of this too will either be... they might play it down now and say we're not going to tax you all directly YET - at Copenhagen. They might say 'well, yeah, because of this scandal, we're going to lessen it and just put a flat tax of energy use on everything that's produced from carrots to whatever.' As long as the UN gets it, they've achieved their goal regardless. Then with the next one next year or next summer at Copenhagen, they'll amend it to go further. That's what they always do. THERE'S TOO MUCH MONEY RIDING ON ALL THIS FOR THE BIG BOYS, too much money. They want also to have control over the whole world and everybody in it. It's a beautiful way to regulate society. We're to regulate you with an iron fist and you're all to become poor in the process by giving us more and more taxes to save the planet, to save you all. That's really what they're saying here. It's amazing how the boys at the top - the

ones that are already billionaires – will become trillionaires after a few years as they reap the harvest of the con, the carbon con.

Already of course, they've gone ahead with things. The Mail Online is making a big deal about Smart Meters going in because the government has passed a law there. The Smart Meters for your electricity was already discussed by Maurice Strong back in the '80s when they put him in at the head of Ontario Hydro. He was brought in from the United Nations. He said, 'we're going to cut all the power down in the future for the ordinary people.' He says, 'people will pay for all energy in taxes' and so on. It was all planned at the Rio Summit and long before in 1992, long, long before that.

£500 'smart' powers meters for all which could let energy firms cap use in homes

By Sean Poulter / 30th November 2009 / dailymail.co.uk

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Creative Climate Prof-Victim of Crime](#)

("Professor in climate change scandal helps police with enquiries while researchers call for him to be banned" by Fiona Macrae (dailymail.co.uk) - Dec. 2, 2009.)

[Telegraph-Don't Hold Breath on Climate Scam Revelation](#)

("Don't hold your breath expecting climate change data to be revealed" by Mike Thornhill (dailymail.co.uk) - Dec. 2, 2009.)

[Global Research-Fixing Climate Data Around Policy](#)

("Global Warming: Fixing the Climate Data around the Policy" by Michel Chossudovsky (globalresearch.ca) - Nov. 30, 2009.)

[Eugenics and Co2](#)

("Website appeal to fund family planning 'to cut CO2' " (news.bbc.co.uk) - Dec. 3, 2009.)

[Climategate-All Unravelling](#)

("Climategate: it's all unravelling now" by James Delingpole (telegraph.co.uk) - Dec. 2, 2009.)

[Smart Meters](#)

("£500 'smart' powers meters for all which could let energy firms cap use in homes" by Sean Poulter (dailymail.co.uk) - Nov. 30, 2009.)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- BOOKS** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando
um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- CDs** Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs: & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Part 1 (1998) and Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- DVDs** "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,
Esoterica and ...TIME"